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EMBRAPA
PARTICIPATION OF RENEWABLE SOURCES IN THE BRAZILLIAN ENERGY MATRIX

- **Brazil (2013):** 41%
- **Brazil (2012):** 42.3%
- **World (2011):** 13%
- **OCDE (2011):** 8.1%

FINAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION
BY SOURCE IN BRAZIL

ENERGY USE BY SECTOR

Source: EPE 2013
DATA COLLECTION

• What is the legal basis and procedure to collect energy/agricultural data?
  • There are many Research Institutions, Ministries, Associations, Universities raising information of different nature (Some public, some protected)

• Who uses the data and how?
  • For public information – anyone
  • For protected information – Who pays/Who is partner

• What are the main issues?
  • Difficulty in rising information in a continental country
  • Changes in the agriculture profile (new crops, fallow areas, etc.)
  • Competition among and between sectors (energy, food, fuel, etc.)
AGRICULTURE SITUATION IN BRAZIL

• Main food crops produced
  • Vegetables, fruit, rice, beans, soybean, corn, peanuts, many others.

• Main export staples
  • Soybean, Forest products, leather, Fibers, Cocoa, Other products

AGROBUSINESS EXPORT
AVERAGE PRICE - SEPTEMBER

Adapted from Agrostat Brasil
CROP RESIDUES/WASTE PRODUCED IN BRAZIL

- Types of residues
  - Waste from agricultural activity
  - Waste from industrial activity that uses agricultural raw material
  - Waste from industrial activity that does not use agricultural raw material
  - Urban waste

- Disposal/use of residues
  - Use in agriculture
  - Use as raw material for by-products production
  - Use in the manufacture of building materials
  - Landfills
  - Incineration
  - Other***
URBAN RESIDUES
RESEARCH FOCUSED ON TRANSFORMING RESIDUES INTO HIGH VALUE PRODUCTS

WOOD RESIDUES

ORGANIC RESIDUES

BIO-OIL

NEW BIOMATERIALS

NEW POLYMERS

CELLULOSE NANO FIBERS
AGRICULTURE STRATEGY
Uso da Terra no Brasil (2011)

- 554 milhões/ha de vegetação nativa
  - 107 milhões/ha de Unidades de Conservação
  - 103,5 milhões/ha de Terras Indígenas Regularizadas
  - 274 milhões de/ha de vegetação nativa em propriedades privadas (APPs hídricas e de topo de morro + Reserva Legal)
  - 69,5 remanescentes de vegetação nativa

- 60 milhões/ha de área produtiva (grãos, frutas e florestas plantadas)

- 38 milhões/ha urbanização e outros usos

- 198 milhões/ha de pastagens

Fontes: Ministério do Meio Ambiente - MMA; IBGE – PAM (2010) e Censo Agropecuário (2006); INPE – TerraClass; *Agricultural Land Use and Expansion Model Brazil – AgLUE-BR* (Gerd Sparovek, ESALQ-USP). Notas 1) Os dados de Unidades de Conservação excluem as chamadas Áreas de Proteção Ambiental – APAs; 2) Os dados de APPs consideram vegetação nativa ripária, em topo de morros e encostas; 3) O dado de remanescentes de vegetação nativa inclui terras quilombolas, florestas públicas não regularizadas e outros remanescentes de vegetação nativa.
Grain and oilseed production, yields and farmed area in Brazil from 1975 to 2010.
FAMILY FARMING IN BRAZIL

- Farming area: **106.8** million hectares
- **12** million producers (1/3 of them are women)
- **24%** of agricultural area
- **84%** of land owners in Brazil

- Cassava **87%**
- Milk **58%**
- Bean **70%**
- Cattle **30%**
- Poultry **50%**
- Corn **46%**
- Pork **59%**
- Rice **34%**

Source: Ministry of Agrarian Development
CROPPING SYSTEM – NO TILL FARMING

- 75% reduction in soil erosion.
- 69% reduction in nutrient lixiviation.
- Reduction in CO₂ emission.
- Reduction diesel consumption.
- More efficient use of inputs.
- Better water retention.
“Agricultural intensification and expansion with mitigation of environmental impact”
AGROCLIMATIC ZONING FOR *Jatropha curcas* 

[Map showing agroclimatic zones for *Jatropha curcas* in the NE region of Brazil with a legend indicating suitability and limitations for cultivation.] 

ESALQ (USP)
AGROCLIMATIC ZONING FOR OIL PALM

316.760 Km² (Tech level B)
31.676.012 ha
(AC, AP, AM, PA, RO, RR, RD, MT)
AGROCLIMATIC ZONING FOR SUGAR CANE
WHAT ARE THE MAIN ISSUES? (LAND, WATER, PRODUCTION, STORAGE, EXPORT, POLICY...)

- What is an issue anywhere

- Land for food crops and for energy crops is not an issue
  - No conflict food x energy

- Storage is an issue mostly for food crops
  - As we increase the use of residues storage becomes an issue

- Export has constraints
  - Nontariff barriers
  - Phytosanitary barriers
  - No conflict between food and energy production in Brazil

- Policy
  - Uncertainty regarding public policies for different sectors (Biodiesel, Sugar cane industry, etc.)
POLICY FRAMEWORK
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**BRAZILIAN AGROENERGY PLAN 2006-2011**

**KEY ELEMENTS OF THE POLICY FRAMEWORK**

**Development of bioenergy** - Expansion of the ethanol sector, implementation of the biodiesel production chain, waste recovery and expansion cultivated energy forests, with nationwide coverage, focusing on efficiency and productivity and favoring less developed regions.

**Agro-energy and food production** - The expansion of agroenergy will not affect food production for internal consumption, specially the standard food basket. Rather, co-product of biodiesel, such as soybean cake and sunflower seeds, tend to complement the supply of products for human consumption and animal.

**Technological development** - Research and development of agricultural and industrial technologies suitable for bioenergy production chains that provide greater competitiveness, adding value to products and reduction of environmental impacts. Concomitantly, should contribute to the economic and social integration, including the development of appropriate technologies to use energy biomass on a small scale.

**Community Energy Autonomy** - The idea is to provide isolated communities, to individual farmers, cooperatives or associates, and agrarian reform settlements, means to generate their own power, especially in remote regions of the country.
Generating employment and income - The Agroenergy policy should be a vector for internal development, social inclusion, reducing regional disparities and settlement of populations in their natural habitat, especially by adding value to the supply chain and integration of different dimensions of the agribusiness.

Optimizing the use of disturbed areas - Energy crops should be produced respecting the sustainability of productive systems, and to discourage unwarranted expansion of the agricultural frontier or the progress toward sensitive or protected systems, such as the Amazon Rainforest, the Pantanal region, among others. May also contribute to the recovery of degraded areas.

Optimization of regional vocations - Encouraging installation of bioenergy projects in regions with abundant supply of soil, sunlight and manpower, providing benefits for work and capital, considering private and social points of view, considering yet crops with greater potential.

Leadership in international trade in biofuels - Brazil brings comparative advantages that allow it to aspire to the leadership in the international market for biofuels and to implement actions to promote energy products derived from bioenergy. The expansion of exports, and the generation of foreign exchange, consolidate the sector and boost the country’s development.

Adherence to environmental policy - Bioenergy programs should be adherent to the Brazilian environmental policy, besides being in perfect harmony with the provisions of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) of the Kyoto Protocol, increasing the use of renewable energy sources with lower emissions of greenhouse gas emissions.
HAS THE BIOMASS RESOURCE AVAILABILITY BEEN ASSESSED AT NATIONAL/LOCAL LEVEL? IF NOT, WHAT IS THE LIMITING FACTOR?
WHAT IS NEEDED TO USE A BIOMASS/RESIDUES IN THE CONTEXT OF BIOENERGY?

1) TECHNOLOGICAL DOMAIN
   - Production system adapted to different environment
   - Conversion processes available

2) PRODUCTION SCALE
   - Cultivars (seed)
   - Productivity

3) LOGISTICS
   - Transport, proximity to market, etc.
TRADITIONAL BIOMASSES

- Raw materials (requirements):
  - Technological domain
  - Production scale
  - Logistics

- **Soy Bean** 500 kg/ha
- **Cotton** 450 kg/ha
- **Sun Flower** 600 kg/ha
- **Castor Bean** 700 kg/ha
- **Oil Palm** 4,000 kg/ha
- **Oil Productivity**
POTENTIAL BIOMASSES

- Soy Bean
- Castor Bean
- Sun Flower
- Cotton
- Oil Palm (*Elaeis guineensis*)
- Macaúba (*Acrocomia aculeata*)
- Tucumã (*Astrocaryum sp.*)
- Babaçu (*Orbignya phalerata*)
- Inajá (*Maximiliana maripa*)
- Physic nuts (*Jatropha curcas*)
- Peanuts
- Canola
- Buriti
- Residual Oils
- Wild radish
- Crambe
- Sesame
- Linseed (seed)
- Pequi
- Industrial waste
- Tung

Source: Bruno Laviola (Embrapa Agroenergia)
## Technical Coefficients

#### Technical coefficients of traditional oilseeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>% Oil</th>
<th>Productivity (Kg/ha)</th>
<th>Oil Production (Kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanuts</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castor bean</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Palm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Laviola e Alves (2011)
## Technical Coefficients

#### Technical coefficients of potential oilseeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>% oil</th>
<th>Potential productivity (Kg/ha)</th>
<th>Oil production (Kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macaúba</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inajá</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.500</td>
<td>3.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucumã</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>2.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babaçu*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Laviola e Alves (2011)
Geographical Distribution

Source: Laviola e Alves (2011)
JATROPHA CURCAS

- PERENIAL OIL PRODUCER PLANT WITH HIGH POTENTIAL FOR THE PRODUCTION OF AVIATION BUIOFUELS, BIODIESEL AND OTHER PRODUCTS

### Crop Potentialities

- High yield of grains
  (> 4.500 kg/ha – 9.000 Kg/ha)
- High yield of oil
  (> 2.000 kg/ha – 3.000 kg/ha)
- High oil quality for Biodiesel
  Palmitic 12,4%; Oleic 44,8%
  Linoleic 34%; Stearic 7,8%
  (C16 to C18) – (C10-C14)
- Diversification of agriculture
- Environment adaptation

### Research Challenges

- Need to broaden the genetic diversity
- Lack of cultivars adapted to different areas
- Lack of a production system
- Uneven fruit ripening
- Toxicity of the biomass residuals
- Production cost
OIL PALM

(E. guineensis; E. oleifera)

**Crop Potentialities**

- High yield of Bunchs
  (20 ton/ha/year)
- High yield of oil
  (4 to 6,000 kg/ha)
- High oil quality
  Palmitic 44%; Oleic 39%
  Linoleic 11%; Stearic 4%
  (C16 to C18) – (C10-C14)
- Diversification of agriculture
- Environment adaptation

**Research Challenges**

- Strengthening breeding program
- Resistance to Bud Rot
- High efficiency cloning system
- Increase seed production
- Reduced production cost
### MACAÚBA
*(Acrocomia aculeata; A. intumescens)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CROP POTENTIALITIES</th>
<th>RESEARCH CHALLENGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Potential for high yield of oil (4,000 kg/ha)</td>
<td>- Lack of cultivars (Unknown genetic diversity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rusticity and adaptability to different climes</td>
<td>- Lack of agronomic technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Drought Tolerance (?)</td>
<td>- Germination problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Evolution in dense areas (Resistance)</td>
<td>- Fruit production only after 4 to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chance of sustainable harvesting</td>
<td>- Tall plants (Difficulty of harvest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Can be used in agroforestry systems</td>
<td>- Harvest point x Uneven maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Residues free of toxic compounds</td>
<td>- Need for fast processing of fruits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Embrapa*
CROP POTENTIALITIES

- Potential for high yield of oil (4.000 kg/ha)
- Rusticity and adaptability to different climes
- Drought Tolerance (?
- Evolution in dense areas (Resistance)
- Chance of sustainable harvesting
- Can be used in agroforestry systems
- Residues free of toxic compounds
FEVILHA
Fevillea cordifolia

CROP POTENTIALITIES

- Potential for high yield of oil (4.000 kg/ha)
- Rusticity/adaptation to different climates
- Drought Tolerance (?)
- Evolution in dense areas (Resistance)
- Chance of sustainable harvesting
- Can be used in agroforestry systems
- Residues free of toxic compounds
FEEDSTOCK AVAILABILITY

INCREASE IN THE OFFER OF SUSTAINABLE BIOFUELS AND BIOMASS

**Criteria:**
- Technological domain
- Production Scale
- Logistics

**2014**
- Short term: Soybean
  - Actions: Strengthening production chain

**2020**
- Medium term: Oil palm, Canola, Sunflower, Castor beans, Others...
  - Actions: Strengthening production chain

**2034**
- Long term: Macaúba, Other palms, Jatropha, Fevilha, Other...
  - Actions: RD&I
CONCLUDING REMARKS

1) SOYBEAN AND SUGAR CANE ALONE WILL NOT RESPOND TO THE DEMANDS OF ALL SECTORS

2) THERE ARE MANY ALTERNATIVE FEEDSTOCKS FOR BIOENERGY

3) INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES ARE AVAILABLE FOR TRANSFORMING FEEDSTOCK AND RESIDUES

4) RESEARCH MUST CONTINUE TO ENSURE AVAILABILITY OF FEEDSTOCK WHEN DEMANDED

5) URBAN RESIDUES ARE AN ENORMOUS SOURCE OF ENERGY AND OTHER VALUE PRODUCTS

6) SUSTAINABILITY IN THE PRODUCTION OF ENERGY IS REACHED WITH DIVERSIFICATION (FOSSIL OIL, HIDROELETRIC, WIND, BIOFUELS, ETC…)

7) MORE DIVERSIFYED PRODUCTION = INCREASE IN SOCIAL INCLUSION
THANK YOU!

Guy de Capdeville
chpd.cnpae@embrapa.br
Recommendations

- What are the solutions to the challenges identified on the previous slides?
- What needs to change and how?
- What is the timeframe for these changes?
- Who is responsible for making the changes?
- What assistance (if any) is required?

NOTE: the presenter can use more than one slide to present these topics if needed
• Have you identified the **market potential and economic impact** of bioenergy production? If not, what is the limiting factor?
  
  • **Market potential and economic impact** includes: current and future market size of bioenergy, bioenergy supply costs (incl. feedstock costs, production/generation costs, and distribution); impact on public budget (in case of financial incentives); employment benefits

• Are the **technology, infrastructure and required skills** available in your country sufficient to meet plans for bioenergy deployment? If not, what is the limiting factor?
  
  • **Technology** includes: feedstock production (incl. harvesting and transportation), conversion to final energy, and distribution