Employment, energy security and fuel poverty implications of the large-scale, deep retrofitting of the Hungarian building stock.

CENTER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE
AND SUSTAINABLE ENERGY POLICY

CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY

Prof. Diana Urge-Vorsatz / Sergio Tirado Herrero

Evaluating the Benefits of Low-Income Weatherisation Programmes

Dublin (Ireland). January 27-28, 2011.

Outline

- The context: **Hungary's energy, fuel poverty and employment challenges**
- The project: **Employment Impacts of a Large-Scale Deep Building Energy Retrofit Programme in Hungary**

Mitigation targets

Short-, mid- and long-term

Source: UNFCCC



Energy dependency

Net (extra-EU) imports as % of Gross Inland Energy Consumption (2007)



Source: EEA

Activity rate

Percentage of the 15-64 yo. employed (2010 Q3)



Source: EUROSTAT

Energy performance of the residential stock

Per unit energy consumption scaled to EU average climate



Source: ODYSSEE

Fuel poverty

Energy prices vs. household incomes

Consumer Price Index (CPI), price index of goods and services considered in CPI calculations, and increase index of wages and pensions (2000-09)



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Fuel poverty

Primary indicators (1)

EXPENDITURE APPROACH: % of energy expenses vs. net income



9.7% of a **household's net income** spent on **energy**, as an average for the period 2000-2007.

Source: KSH

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Fuel poverty

Primary indicators (1)

SELF-REPORTED APPROACH: inability to afford enough heating



12.4% of the population declare to be **unable to keep their homes adequately warm** (2005-2009)

Source: EU SILC

- **Expenditure-based measurements** seems to be **higher** than **self-reported fuel poverty rates**
- **Self-reported** trends do **not follow** the **expected pattern** of development for the late 2000s.

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Fuel poverty

Secondary indicators (1)

ARREARS ON UTILITY BILLS (self-reported)



FUEL POVERTY-RELATED HOUSING FAULTS* (self-reported)



Source: EU SILC

*Leaking roof, damp walls, floors or foundation, or rot in window frames of floor

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Fuel poverty

Secondary indicators (2)

USE OF TRADITIONAL FUELS FOR SPACE HEATING



Source: KSH

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

District heating and panel buildings

The thermal trap

DH providers **do not easily allow to switch** to other fuel or company

Inability to control indoor temperature **thermal discomfort**

Fixed flat rate, no individual meters

Some consumers fail to pay regularly the tariff: **indebtedness**

Prefabricated **panel buildings** in suburban areas

Low-income population

Many DH networks are now obsolete and need **modernization** both on the heat supplier and on the consumers' side



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Who are the most affected?

- **Lower income** population
  - High energy expenses vs. income ratio, lower quality housing
- **Pensioners / Elders**
  - Most **EWDs** are people over 60 years old
  - **Switch off the heating** instead of delaying payments
- **Households** connected to **district heating** (DH)
  - Large fixed costs, inability to get disconnected
- **Monoparental families**
- **Rural poor**
  - Impact of increased **firewood prices** related to biomass use in renewable power generation
  - **Roma population**: electricity theft and illegal firewood collection

Strategies to deal with energy affordability problems

- Mantaining **low indoor temperatures** is only one of the solutions adopted by households…
  - reducing the consumption of **other basic goods and services** (e.g., education or food);
  - reducing the fraction of the **floor area heated**;
  - **fuel switch**, mostly from natural gas to firewood, a less convenient but cheaper fuel;
  - **payment arrears** and **increased indebtedness** with energy suppliers; and
  - **electricity theft** and **illegal firewood collection.**

Outline

- The context: **Hungary's energy, fuel poverty and employment challenges**
- The project: **Employment Impacts of a Large-Scale Deep Building Energy Retrofit Programme in Hungary**

The project in a nutshell

- **Objective**: to gauge the net employment impacts of a large-scale deep building energy-efficiency renovation programme in Hungary
- **Scope of the research**:
  - Type of buildings: residential and public buildings (no industrial or commercial)
  - Type of renovation: reduce demand for heating (no appliances)
  - Employment effects: direct, indirect and induced
- **Expected results**:
  - Non-employment results: investments involved, reduction in energy consumption and CO2 emissions, energy cost savings
  - Net impacts on the Hungarian labour market
- **Two phases**:
  - Preliminary results: 22 March 2010
  - Final report: June 8 2010 (revised results)

Employment effects: overview



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Scenarios considered

Scenario	Description	Retrofit rate	Type of retrofits	Forecasted completion
S-BASE	Baseline scenario: no intervention	1.3% of the total building stock (around 4.5 million square metres a year, equivalent to 55,000 dwellings)	"Business as usual" retrofits	N/A
S-DEEP1	Deep retrofit with fast implementation rate	Around 20 million square meter (equivalent to 250,000 dwellings) per year	Deep retrofits	18 years
S-DEEP2	Deep retrofit with medium implementation rate	Around 12 million square meter (equivalent to 150,000 dwellings) per year	Deep retrofits	28 years
S-DEEP3	Deep retrofit with slow implementation rate	Around 8 million square meter (equivalent to 100,000 dwellings) per year	Deep retrofits	41 years
S-SUB	Suboptimal retrofit with medium implementation rate	Around 12 million square meter (equivalent to 150,000 dwellings) per year	Suboptimal retrofits	28 years



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Methodology: building stock model

- Data on the building stock
  - # units, size, specific energy consump. for heating
  - Novikova (2008), Korytarova (forthcoming)
  - *Ramp-up* period: progressive implementation rates
- Costs of suboptimal and deep renovations
  - Lit. review, case studies
  - Best-case approach for deep (e.g., SOLANOVA)
  - Decreasing cost for deep renovations: learning factors
- Energy prices
  - Increase in real energy prices estimated from KSH and IEA.

Methodology: employment impacts

- Mixed: Up-scaling + Input-Output analysis



Carbon emission reductions



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Energy dependency reduction

- Reduced **annual** and **peak imports** of natural gas. Once fully implemented, **deep renovation scenarios**:
  - Save up to **39%** of Hungary's NG imports (2006-2008 levels).
  - NG savings are at the same order of magnitude as Hungary's **domestic NG production** (2006-2008 levels).



- Reduced peak imports in **January** equivalent to **59%** the natural gas imports recorded for that month in 2006-2008.

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Annual investment costs vs. energy saving benefits









- Annual savings become higher than the investment needs in 20 years

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Net employment impacts

Snapshot in 2020

- Direct effects
  - Calculated with bottom-up method
- Indirect + induced effects
  - Application of I/O tables
  - Indirect + induced impacts have the same order of magnitude as the direct impacts



Net employment impacts

Short and medium-term view



- The initial increase shows the ramp-up period
- The subsequent decrease is due to the learning factor
  - Productivity increases: costs and labour intensities decrease
  - There is practically no learning factor in S-BASE and S-SUB: the technologies are mature

Fuel poverty alleviation

- S-SUB renovations (50% energy use reduction)
  - Partial reduction of fuel poverty rates
- S-DEEP renovation (85% energy use reduction)
  - Potential eradication of fuel poverty

"The most sustainable way to eradicate fuel poverty is to ***fuel poverty-proof*** the housing stock, which means that a dwelling will be sufficiently energy efficient **that regardless of who occupies the property, there is a low probability that they will be in fuel poverty**"

Source: UK DTI 2006, p. 31

Further issues

- **Distributed geographic effects**
  - Buildings renovated **throughout the country**; work mainly done by SMEs
  - **Induced consumption** also very distributed
- **Durability of effects**
  - The programme lasts **20 to 40 years**, effectively a worker's lifetime
- **Employment effects** in the energy sector **overestimated**
  - Large fixed costs; job losses probably in "lumps"
  - **Rebound effect**: increased energy demand due to enhanced consumption
- **Constraints** in the **supply of labour and materials**
  - Unemployed and inactive population to provide the required labour
  - Possible increase in labour and material costs
- **Real estate**
  - Increased **financial value** and **lifetime** of renovated buildings

Financing

- Such programme will need a vast amount of **financing**
  - E.g. in 2020:
    - S-DEEP1 – 3.5 B€ (13% of 2009 HU budget)
    - S-DEEP2 – 2.1 B€ (8% of 2009 HU budget)
    - S-DEEP3 – 1.4 B€ (5% of 2009 HU budget)
- The **energy savings** are **higher** than the **investments**, but they **accrue later**
- However, at least part of the initial funds can come from:
  - An **ESCO-type scheme of financing** in which part of the savings go into repaying the investment costs.
  - **EU funds** (e.g., 15% of the funds allocated 2007-13 would provide 400M€ per year)
  - Partially redirecting the **current energy subsidies** (about 800M€ per year)

Conclusions and recommendations

- **Deep renovation** scenarios deliver **higher climate and energy benefits** as compared to suboptimal renovation scenarios
  - They save 85% of previous energy use and carbon emissions and avoid *locking-in* 45% of 2010 emissions
  - Substantial **reduction** in **annual and peak** (January) gas imports
  - **Potential eradication of fuel poverty** if implemented to a full extent
- **Employment impacts** are **highly positive in the short to medium term**, especially for **deep renovation** scenarios
  - Up to 70,000-180,000 FTE in the peak year (2015)
    - Around 38% are **indirect and induced effects** in other sectors
  - **Labour intensity** of retrofits higher than in the construction sector
  - Induced effects stay once renovations have finished
- The major issue is **financing**
  - Current **energy subsidies**, **EU funds** and **pay-as-you-save scheme.**
- A less **ambitious rate of renovation is recommended**
  - Avoid **shortages** in the **labour supply**: less jobs but sustained
  - Avoid **investment *shock***: from 2 bln. to 1 bln. € per year

From research to policy-making…

- **Timeframe** of the project
  - March-June 2010 (comissioned by ECF Feb. 2010)
  - General elections in Hungary: April 11-25, 2010
  - New government formed on May 29, 2010.
  - Presentation of results: June 8, 2010
- **Policy impact**
  - Late June 2010: the new Hungarian governmnent announces a new, more ambitious renovation programme for the residential sector:
    - 100,000 units per year, increasing up to 150-200,000 units per year
    - *Complex* renovations: 70-80% target energy savings (previously up to 50%)
    - Hungary taking leadership in advanced EE solutions for the buildings sector

Employment Impacts of a Large-Scale Deep Building Energy Retrofit Programme in Hungary

CENTER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE
AND SUSTAINABLE ENERGY POLICY

CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY

Thank you for your attention

http://3csep.ceu.hu/

3csep@ceu.hu

BACK-UP SLIDES

CENTER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE
AND SUSTAINABLE ENERGY POLICY

CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY

Residential Building Stock Current Characteristics



Total Energy Consumption: 58 TWh/year

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Public Building Stock
Current Characteristics



Total Energy Consumption: 5 TWh/year

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Scenario results: energy cost savings

- Energy savings generated each year by all retrofits implemented until that year



Scenario results: Investments for the programme



- Initial 5-year ramp-up period
- Subsequent decrease thanks to learning factor

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Cumulative investments and savings (undiscounted)



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Employment effects: available methodologies

Scaling-up of case studies

- *Bottom-up* method
- Based on case-study data

Input-Output analysis

- *Top-down* method
- Based on input-output tables

CGEM (Computable general equilibrium models)

- More complex
- Adds dynamics to I/O method
- Can model international exchanges

Results transfer

- Useful if data is lacking (e.g. developing countries)
- Subject to uncertainties

Direct employment impacts in construction per skill: snapshot in 2020



- The effects on professional labour are highest in the deep renovation scenarios

Total net employment impacts divided by sector: snapshot in 2020

Thousands FTE	S-BASE	S-DEEP1	S-DEEP2	S-DEEP3	S-SUB
Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
Mining and quarrying	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2
Manufacturing	0.7	10.5	6.3	4.2	3.2
Electricity, gas and water supply	-0.1	-3.1	-1.8	-1.2	-0.8
Construction	7.7	91.8	55.1	36.7	31.7
Wholesale and retail trade, restaurants and hotels	0.3	3.6	2.2	1.4	1.1
Transport, storage and communications	0.3	4.2	2.5	1.7	1.3
Finance, insurance, real estate and business services	0.5	5.8	3.5	2.3	1.8
Community, social and personal services	1.5	16.7	10.0	6.7	5.0
Total	11.0	130.7	78.4	52.3	43.4



Sensitivity analysis: variation of deep renovation costs in 2010



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Sensitivity analysis: variation of increase of energy prices



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Sensitivity analysis: variation of learning factor



Sensitivity analysis: variation of ratio labour costs / total costs



European Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Results compared with other investment initiatives

- The scenarios have an average FTE generated (direct + indirect + induced) per Million Euro invested much higher than the studies reviewed

Deep renovation scenarios	FTE generated (direct + indirect + induced) per M€ invested in 2020
S-DEEP	37.3
Studies reviewed	
Energy effciency/Bldgs. retrofit	17.07
Other mitigation	15.56
Non-energy related activities	21.64



Climate Foundation

3CSEP

Energy subsidies in Hungary

Energy subsidies

Source: slides from Mr. Laszlo Varro, Strategy Director at MOL



Biofuel: relatively little CO2 emission mitigation at a high cost

District heating VAT discount: further decreases energy efficiency

Coal subsidy: artificially increases the competitiveness of high carbon intensity energy

Gas subsidy: decreases energy efficiency and competitiveness of renewable heat

Feed-in tariff for co-generation: predominantly subsidy of gas based co-generation, decresaes competitiveness of renewable heat

+

- 300 Bn HUF state investment to a new lignite plant.
- 1 Mt additional CO2 emission compared to a BAT gas turbine

European Climate Foundation

3CSEP